

SGO **20/20** VISION FOR THE FUTURE

ANNUAL MEETING ON WOMEN'S CANCER® WEBINAR SERIES

A Phase 1 study of XMT-1536 in patients with solid tumors likely to express NaPi2b: A summary of dose escalation

D.L. Richardson¹, E. Hamilton², A. Tolcher³, T.F. Burns⁴, W.J. Edenfield⁵, K.P. Papadopoulos⁶, U.A. Matulonis⁷, D. Huebner⁸, R. Mosher⁸, D. Jarlenski⁸, G. Pennock⁸, M. Cyr⁸, A. Santillan³, S.V. Ulahannan¹ and K.N. Moore¹

¹Stephenson Cancer Center/Sarah Cannon Research Institute at the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK; ²Sarah Cannon Research Institute/Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN; ³NEXT Oncology/Texas Oncology, San Antonio, TX; ⁴University of Pittsburgh Medical Center- Hillman Cancer Center, Pittsburgh, PA; ⁵Institute of Translational Oncology Research, Prisma Health-Upstate Cancer Institute, Greenville, SC; ⁶South Texas Accelerated Research Therapeutics, LLC, San Antonio, TX; Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA ⁸Mersana Therapeutics Inc, Cambridge, MA

NCT03319628





Disclosures

Debra L. Richardson, MD

Consulting:

AstraZeneca, Bayer, Foundation Medicine, Genentech/Roche, Ipsen, Mersana Therapeutics, Tesaro/GSK

Research:

Aravive, ArQule, AstraZeneca, Deciphera, Five Prime Therapeutics, Genentech, Harpoon Therapeutics, Hookipa Biotech, Innovent Biologics, Karyopharm, Lycera, Merck, Mersana Therapeutics, Roche, Syros Pharmaceuticals, Tesaro/GSK

Travel/Accommodations/Expenses:

Agenus, Merck, Karyopharm Therapeutics, Roche, Tesaro/GSK





NaPi2b is an Ideal Antibody-Drug Conjugate (ADC) Target Assay Developed to Measure Antigen Expression

- ADC internalizing sodium phosphate transporter; not an oncogene
- Broadly expressed in ovarian cancer and NSCLC adenocarcinoma
- · Limited expression in normal tissues
- IHC assay calibrated to distinguish wide range of expression



Ovarian Cancer Patient-Derived Xenograft Models



Response correlated with NaPi2b Expression

H-score measures the percentage of cells staining multiplied by their intensity (0, 1+, 2+, 3+) for a range of 0 - 300







XMT-1536 is a First-in-Class Dolaflexin ADC Targets NaPi2b with Controlled Bystander Effect

Hydrophilic Polymer Scaffold

- High drug-to-antibody ratio (DAR) with ~10-12 payloads
- Excellent drug like properties
- Highly stable in circulation
- Dose-proportional exposure
- Very low exposure of free payload



DolaLock Payload with Controlled Bystander Effect

- Selectively toxic to rapidly dividing cells
- Initially released molecule (Auristatin F-HPA) freely cell permeable and bystander capable
- Intracellular conversion to Auristatin F diminishes permeability and controls bystander effect
- Accumulates in tumor, not a PgP substrate
- Induces immunogenic cell death

HPA: Hydroxypropylamide





XMT-1536 Phase 1 Dose Escalation Trial Design

Dosing: Q4 weeks

	DL 8A 52 mg/m ² Evaluation Ongoing
Dosing: Q3 weeks	DL 7A 43 mg/m ²
DL 6 40 mg/m ²	DL 6A 36 mg/m ²
DL 5 30 mg/m ²	DL 5A 30 mg/m ²
DL 4 20 mg/m ²	DL 4A 20 mg/m ²
DL 3 12 mg/m ²	
DL 2 6 mg/m ²	
DL 1 3 mg/m ²	

Objectives: Evaluate safety and tolerability; determine MTD and identify RP2D; assess preliminary antitumor activity

Patient population: Platinum-resistant, serous ovarian cancer and NSCLC adenocarcinoma progressing after standard treatments*

- Measurable disease per RECIST 1.1
- ECOG 0 or 1
- Archived tissue for retrospective assessment of NaPi2b expression

Dosing: IV initially every 3 weeks, amended to every 4 weeks, until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity

Assessments: Tumor imaging (MRI or CT): baseline and every 2nd cycle; response assessed per RECIST 1.1



MTD = maximum tolerated dose; RP2D = recommended Phase 2 dose * Dose escalation cohort (DL 3-5/A) also included endometrial, papillary renal, salivary duct, and papillary thyroid cancers



Patient Demographics and Disease Characteristics

	N=59 Patien	ts Dosed a	nt 3 mg/m² to 43 mg/m²	2	
Age, years; Median (range)		65 (39-93)		
Sex Female Male			48 (81%) 11 (19%)		
ECOG performance status 0 1	; n (%)		21 (36%) 38 (64%)		
Primary Tumor Type; n (% Ovarian NSCLC Endometrial Papillary Renal Cancer Salivary Duct)		37 (64%) 11 (18%) 8 (13%) 2 (3%) 1 (2%)		
Prior lines of Therapy, Med All patients Ovarian NSCLC	dian (range)		5 (1 to 10) 5 (1 to 10) 4 (2 to 6)		
Prior Therapies Ovarian, N=36* * One patient prior treatment data not reported yet	Platinum Taxane Bevacizumab PARPi Investigational	n (%) 36 (100) 33 (92) 23 (64) 20 (56) 14 (39)	Prior Therapies NSCLC, N=10* * One patient prior treatment data not reported yet	Platinum Pemetrexed I/O Taxane TKI Investigational	n (%) 10 (100) 10 (100) 10 (100) 7 (70) 1 (10) 7 (70)





Treatment-Related Adverse Events (TRAEs) Reported in ≥10% of Patients

- 76% (45/59) of Patients experienced a TRAE
- No severe neutropenia, peripheral neuropathy or ocular toxicity
- No G4 or G5 TRAEs
- 4 Treatment-Related SAEs: G1 Pyrexia (possibly), G2 Pyrexia (probably), G3 congestive cardiac failure (possibly), G3 Vomiting (possibly)

Preferred Term	Grade 1 n (%)	Grade 2 n (%)	Grade 3 n (%)	Total – All Grades n (%)
NAUSEA	16 (31)	5 (10)	0	21 (40)
FATIGUE	7 (13)	13 (25)	0	20 (38)
ASPARTATE AMINOTRANSFERASE	5 (10)	5 (10)	6 (12)	16 (32)
HEADACHE	7 (13)	5 (10)	0	12 (23)
VOMITING	8 (15)	2 (4)	1 (2)	11 (21)
PYREXIA	8 (15)	1 (2)	0	9 (17)
BLOOD ALKALINE PHOSPHATASE INCREASED	7 (13)	1 (2)	0	8 (15)
DECREASED APPETITE	1 (2)	7 (13)	0	8 (15)
DIARRHOEA	5 (10)	1 (2)	1 (2)	7 (13)
ALANINE AMINOTRANSFERASE INCREASED	5 (10)	1 (2)	0	6 (12)
ANAEMIA	0	3 (6)	2 (4)	5 (10)
THROMBOCYTOPENIA	2 (4)	1 (2)	0	3 (6)

Patients dosed 3 to 40 mg/m² N=52

Patients dosed 43 mg/m² N=7

Grade 1 n (%)	Grade 2 n (%)	Grade 3 n (%)	Total – All Grades n (%)
1 (14)	1 (14)	0	2 (29)
1 (14)	3 (43)	0	4 (57)
2 (29)	1 (14)	0	3 (43)
1 (14)	0	0	1 (14)
0	0	0	0
2 (29)	0	0	2 (29)
0	0	0	0
0	1 (14)	0	1 (14)
1 (14)	0	0	1 (14)
1 (14)	0	0	1 (14)
1 (14)	1 (14)	0	2 (29)
2 (29)	1 (14)	0	3 (43)





Well Tolerated to Date. No DLT at Highest Completed Dose Level of 43 mg/m² q4w

Dose Level (DL)	Dose	Tumor Types	Pts / DL	DLT Description, Number of Patients with Event
1	3 mg/m ² q3w	Ovarian	1	
2	6 mg/m²q3w	Ovarian	1	
3	12 mg/m²q3w	Ovarian (1) NSCLC (2) Endometrial (3) Papillary Renal (1)	7	
4/4A	20 mg/m²q3w/q4w	Ovarian (11) NSCLC (1) Endometrial (1) Salivary Duct (1) Papillary renal (1)	15	
5/5A	30 mg/m²q3w/q4w	Ovarian (12) NSCLC (3) Endometrial (4)	19	Transient G3 AST; resolved to G1 within 21 days; n=1
6	40 mg/m² q3w	Ovarian (1)	1	Transient G3 AST; resolved to G1 within 21 days; n=1
6A	36 mg/m² q4w	Ovarian (7) NSCLC (1)	8	G2 AST/G1 ALT preventing 2 nd dose & causing study discontinuation; n=1
7A	43 mg/m² q4w	Ovarian (3) NSCLC (4)	7	





Favorable Dose- and Biomarker-Response Relationship Emerging Data Will Define Biomarker Cut-Off for Patient Selection in Future Studies

Response - Ovarian Cancer and NSCLC adenocarcinoma N=39*				
		All		
	Ν	10		
	PR	1 (10%)		
20 mg/m²	SD	6 (60%)		
	DCR (PR+SD)	7 (70%)		
	PD	3 (30%)		
	Ν	22		
	PR	3 (14%)		
30, 36, 40 mg/m ²	SD	10 (45%)		
	DCR (PR+SD)	13 (59%)		
	PD	9 (41%)		
	Ν	7		
	PR	2 (29%)		
43 mg/m²	SD	4 (57%)		
	DCR (PR+SD)	6 (86%)		
	PD	1 (14%)		

^o Higher NaPi2b Expression: at/above lowest H-score at which response observed (≥110) ^{oo} Lower NaPi2b Expression: below the lowest H-score at which response observed (<110)

Data cut-off: 03 Feb 2020



*Excludes 3 patients discontinued due to investigator/patient choice and 1 without RECIST scan **Hypocellular specimen/indeterminate for H-score or not determined yet



Favorable Dose- and Biomarker-Response Relationship

Emerging Data Will Define Biomarker Cut-Off for Patient Selection in Future Studies

Response - Ovarian Cancer and NSCLC adenocarcinoma N=39*		N (%)			
		All	Higher NaPi2b °	Lower NaPi2b ••	Indeterm NaPi2b **
	Ν	10	7	2	1
	PR	1 (10%)	0	0	1 (100%)
20 mg/m²	SD	6 (60%)	4 (57%)	2 (100%)	0
	DCR (PR+SD)	7 (70%)	4 (57%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)
	PD	3 (30%)	3 (43%)	0	0
	Ν	22	12	7	3
30, 36, 40 mg/m²	PR	3 (14%)	3 (25%)	0	0
	SD	10 (45%)	6 (50%)	3 (43%)	1 (33%)
	DCR (PR+SD)	13 (59%)	9 (75%)	3 (43%)	1 (33%)
	PD	9 (41%)	3 (25%)	4 (57%)	2 (67%)
	Ν	7	3	2	2
43 mg/m²	PR	2 (29%)	2 (67%)	0	0
	SD	4 (57%)	0	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
	DCR (PR+SD)	6 (86%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
	PD	1 (14%)	1 (33%)	0	0

^o Higher NaPi2b Expression: at/above lowest H-score at which response observed (\geq 110) ^{oo} Lower NaPi2b Expression: below the lowest H-score at which response observed (<110)

Data cut-off: 03 Feb 2020

*Excludes 3 patients discontinued due to investigator/patient choice and 1 without RECIST scan **Hypocellular specimen/indeterminate for H-score or not determined yet

Society of Gynecologic Oncology



ANNUAL MEETING ON WOMEN'S CANCER WEBINAR SERIES

Favorable Dose- and Biomarker-Response Relationship

Emerging Data Will Define Biomarker Cut-Off for Patient Selection in Future Studies

Response - Ovarian Cancer and NSCLC adenocarcinoma N=39*		N (%)			
		All	Higher NaPi2b °	Lower NaPi2b ^{oo}	Indeterm NaPi2b **
	Ν	10	7	2	1
	PR	1 (10%)	0	0	1 (100%)
20 mg/m ²	SD	6 (60%)	4 (57%)	2 (100%)	0
	DCR (PR+SD)	7 (70%)	4 (57%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)
	PD	3 (30%)	3 (43%)	0	0
	Ν	22	12	7	3
	PR	3 (14%)	3 (25%)	0	0
30, 36, 40 mg/m ²	SD	10 (4	6 (50%)	3 (43%)	3%)
	DCR (PR+SD)	13 (5 PR: 33 %	<mark>⁄</mark> 9 (75%)	3 (43%)	PR: 0% <mark>8%)</mark>
	PD	9 (4 DCR: 73	% 3 (25%)	4 (57%) DO	CR: 55% 7%)
	Ν	7	3	2	2
43 mg/m²	PR	2 (29%)	2 (67%)	0	0
	SD	4 (57%)	0	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
	DCR (PR+SD)	6 (86%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
	PD	1 (14%)	1 (33%)	0	0

^o Higher NaPi2b Expression: at/above lowest H-score at which response observed (\geq 110) ^{oo} Lower NaPi2b Expression: below the lowest H-score at which response observed (<110)

Data cut-off: 03 Feb 2020

*Excludes 3 patients discontinued due to investigator/patient choice and 1 without RECIST scan **Hypocellular specimen/indeterminate for H-score or not determined yet

Society of Gynecologic Oncology



ANNUAL MEETING ON WOMEN'S CANCER WEBINAR SERIES

Durations at ≥ 20mg/m² - Longer Treatment Duration Observed in Patients with Higher NaPi2b Expression







Patient with Ovarian Cancer – Confirmed PR with 62% Tumor Reduction

Platinum Resistant Ovarian Cancer Patient Treated with 43mg/m² 43 Age 9 # prior regimens CA125 Baseline 5409 (U/mL)CA125 after 3 Cycles 427 (U/mL)NaPi2b IHC, 110 H-Score



- Prior treatments with carboplatin, paclitaxel, cisplatin, liposomal doxorubicin, gemcitabine, bevacizumab, olaparib
- PR detected at Cycle 2 and confirmed at Cycle 3





Patient with NSCLC – Confirmed PR with 34% Tumor Reduction

NSCLC Adenocarci	inoma	Baseline	After 3 Cycles
Patient Treated with mg/m ²	h 43		C. E.
Age	80	0	0
# prior regimens	4		
NaPi2b IHC, H-Score	245		

- Prior treatments with carboplatin, pemetrexed, paclitaxel, nivolumab
- PR detected at Cycle 2 and confirmed at Cycle 3





Conclusions

- XMT-1536 has a favorable safety profile
 - Most treatment related adverse events (TRAEs) were Grade 1 or 2
 - Nausea, fatigue, transient increase in AST, headache, and vomiting were the most frequent TRAEs
 - No severe neutropenia, peripheral neuropathy or ocular toxicity
- 52 mg/m² dose escalation cohort under evaluation
- Antitumor activity observed in heavily pretreated patients with PROC and NSCLC adenocarcinoma (median of 5 prior lines of therapy)
 - Higher response rate at doses <u>></u>30 mg/m²
 - Higher response rate in patients with higher NaPi2b expression; No responses in patients with lower NaPi2b expression
 - Literature suggests low single digit response rates in platinum-resistant ovarian cancer with similar lines of therapy^{1,2,3}
- Expansion at 36 and 43 mg/m² q 4 weeks is ongoing in PROC and NSCLC adenocarcinoma



ANNUAL MEETING ON WOMEN'S CANCER' WEBINAR SERIES



Acknowledgements

We thank the patients, their families and caregivers for their contribution to this study*

UNTED STATES

SG0 20/2

U. of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL – Rebecca Ahrend Arizona Oncology Associates, Tucson, AZ – Joseph Buscema Rocky Mountain Cancer Centers, LLP, Lone Tree, CO - Robert Jotte H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa FL – Julian Santos U. of Florida, Gainesville, FL – Frederic Kaye U. of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL – Marilyn Huang Lahey Clinic, Burlington, MA – Corrine Zarwan Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA - Sara Bouberhan Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA – Ursula Matulonis; Pasi Janne Maryland Oncology and Hematology, Bethesda, MD – John Wallmark Henry Ford Medical Center, Detroit, MI – Ding Wang QUEST Research Institute, Farmington Hills, MI – Mohammed Ibrahim St. Luke's Cancer Center, Kansas City, MO - Ram Subramanian Washington University of . St. Louis, St. Louis, MO - Premal Thacker U. of Utah Huntsman Cancer Institute – Theresa Werner Atrium Health, Charlotte, NC - William Naumann Mount Sinai, NYC, NY - Thomas Marron Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, OH - John Hays U. of Oklahoma, Oklahoma City, OK – Debra Richardson; Susanna Ulahannan

Willamette Valley Cancer Institute, Eugene, OR – Charles Anderson Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA – Martin Edelman

UNITED STATES

UPMC Hillman Cancer Center, Pittsburgh, PA – Tim Burns Allegheny Health Network, Pittsburgh, PA – Thomas Krivak Institute of Translational Oncology Research, Greenville, SC – Jeffrey Edenfield Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Nashville, TN – Erika Hamilton; Melissa Johnson U. of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, TX – David Miller Texas Oncology Fort Worth, Fort Worth, TX – Stephen Richey Texas Oncology, Houston, TX – Donald Richards Texas Oncology, Austin, TX – Jason Melear Mary Crowley Cancer Research Institute, Dallas, TX – Minal Barve START, San Antonio, TX – Kryi Papadopoulos NEXT Oncology, San Antonio, TX – Anthony Tolcher, Antonio Santillan Virginia Cancer Specialist, Fairfax, VA – Alex Spira

CANADA

Southlake Regional Health Care Center, Newmarket, Ontario – Labib Zibdawi

British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver – Sara Taylor Jurasinski Caner Center, Hamilton, Ontario – Hirte Holgar

AUSTRALIA

Chris O'Brien Lifehouse, Camperdown – Steven Kao Peter MacCallum Center, Melbourne, Victoria – Linda Milschkin Austin Health – ONJ Cancer Center, Heidelberg, Victoria – Paul Mitchell



*Sponsored by Mersana Therapeutics, Inc.